To: Laidlaw, Tina[Laidlaw.Tina@epa.gov]; Blend, Jeff[jblend@mt.gov]
Cc: Sengco, Mario[Sengco.Mario@epa.gov]
From: Suplee, Mike
Sent: Fri 9/2/2016 1:44:24 PM
Subject: Re: EP-C-14-016 WA 2-03, TD 14, Widespread Economic Impact Analysis for Montana Facilities

Hi Tina,

Given that this is EPA's report, I would think you would want to complete your analysis through widespread. Most of the scenarios you are running are hypothetical anyway so why hesitate to complete the work, to support the conclusions?

-Mike

From: Laidlaw, Tina <Laidlaw.Tina@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 2, 2016 6:34 AM
To: Blend, Jeff; Suplee, Mike
Cc: Sengco, Mario
Subject: Re: EP-C-14-016 WA 2-03, TD 14, Widespread Economic Impact Analysis for Montana Facilities

Jeff,

A point of clarification. IF you guys are interested in having TetraTech complete the widespread analyses for the remaining communities, I should check with HQs. I made it sound like a guarantee in my previous email and I need to work with Mario to see if there would still be available funds.

Just let us know if you'd like to request assistance for the other communities or handle the analysis in house. Then we can evaluate if we have additional funding to cover this work.

Sorry for any confusion.

Tina

From: Blend, Jeff <jblend@mt.gov>
Sent: Thursday, September 1, 2016 3:17:40 PM
To: Laidlaw, Tina; Suplee, Mike

Cc: Sengco, Mario
Subject: RE: EP-C-14-016 WA 2-03, TD 14, Widespread Economic Impact Analysis for Montana Facilities

Excellent excellent job. Two things of note:

The persons that did this did not come up with a conclusion of whether the impact would be Widespread. I would say Yes, without question given Boulder's status. Therefore, I would grant them a variance if they should need one as they would meet the significant and widespread criteria.

If this were a well-off or booming town (Billings for example), I might say no or not sure.

Also, you picked a town that is clearly in a downward spiral right now. What would we conclude for a town that is in mixed circumstances like Sidney that recently boomed but is not in a bust and having trouble paying its bills. Those type of towns would be hard. What about towns like Sun Prairie Village which are not really towns and have little data? Just some good questions to ponder.

Jeff Blend

(406) 444-0218

jblend@mt.gov

Economist and Energy Analyst

Energy Bureau

Montana Dept. of Environmental Quality

1520 East Sixth Ave

P.O. Box 200901

Helena, MT 59620-0901

From: Laidlaw, Tina [mailto:Laidlaw.Tina@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 3:20 PM
To: Suplee, Mike; Blend, Jeff
Cc: Sengco, Mario
Subject: FW: EP-C-14-016 WA 2-03, TD 14, Widespread Economic Impact Analysis for Montana Facilities

Mike and Jeff,

Attached is the example widespread analysis for the town of Boulder. Please take a look and let us know if you have comments or questions. Thanks!

Tina

From: Meehan, Clair [mailto:Clair.Meehan@tetratech.com]
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2016 9:50 AM
To: Laidlaw, Tina <Laidlaw.Tina@epa.gov>; Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>
Cc: Gardner, Thomas <Gardner.Thomas@epa.gov>; Shannon Ragland <Shannon_Ragland@abtassoc.com>
Subject: EP-C-14-016 WA 2-03, TD 14, Widespread Economic Impact Analysis for Montana Facilities

Hi Tina and Mario,

Attached is the economic analysis that Abt conducted. Please let us know if you have any questions or comments.

Thanks!

Clair

Clair Meehan | Environmental Scientist
Direct: 508.734.5513
clair.meehan@tetratech.com

Environmental Sciences

Helping Our Clients with Clean Water Requirements

Tetra Tech | Complex World, Clear Solutions

www.tetratech.com

PLEASE NOTE: This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail